

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/525,279	LI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ROSE M. MILLER	2856	

All Participants:

(1) ROSE M. MILLER.

(2) Robert Buyan.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
potential rejection of claims 1, 14, 25, and 42

Claims discussed:
1, 14, 25, 30-41, 42

Prior art documents discussed:
Hawkins (US 3,213,197), Hawkins (US 3,332,757), and Hawkins et al. (US 3,333,278)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:30 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Authorization for Examiner's amendment to incorporate claim 13 into independent claim 1, to incorporate claim 23 into independent claim 14, add the subject matter of claims 13 and 23 to independent claim 25, and to incorporate claim 45 into independent claim 42 in order to avoid a potential 102b and/or 103 rejection of claims in view of Hawkins, Hawkins, and Hawkins et al. Claims 30-41 allowable over art of record as filed.